

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/606,052	06/28/2000	Roy Mauger	476-1929	5678
23644	7590 02/18/2005		EXAMINER	
BARNES & THORNBURG			NGUYEN, BRIAN D	
P.O. BOX 278 CHICAGO. II	6 L 60690-2786		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
011101100, 11			2661	

DATE MAILED: 02/18/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Application No. Applicant(s) **Advisory Action** 09/606,052 MAUGER ET AL.

Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Brian D Nguyen	2661	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 07 February 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS			
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to filing must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amend condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appe Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date 	a Notice of Appeal. To avoid aban ment, affidavit, or other evidence, v al fee) in compliance with 37 CFR of e reply must be filed within one of t	donment of this appli vhich places the appl 41.31; or (3) a Reque	ication in st for Continued
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailin (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	g date of the final reject	ion.
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 76 Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b)	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The approprinally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
NOTICE OF APPEAL 2. The reply was filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appewas filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 4 Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 Chas been filed, any reply must be filed within the time per	1.37 must be filed within two month CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of	s of the date of filing	the Notice of
AMENDMENTS 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co	nsideration and/or search (see NO		ecause
 (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below) (c) They are not deemed to place the application in begappeal; and/or 	•	ducing or simplifying	the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally rej	ected claims.	
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1	16 and 41.33(a)).		
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1	21. See attached Notice of Non-Co	mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) Newly proposed or amended claim(s) <u>55-58,60-63,65-72</u> 	2,89,92,94 and 96-101 would be all	owable if submitted in	n a separate,
timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a)	will not be entered, or b) 🔲 wi	II be entered and an	explanation of
how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro- The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: <u>55-58,60-63,65-72,89,92,94 and 96-10</u>			,
Claim(s) objected to: <u>85-88,90,91 and 95.</u> Claim(s) rejected: <u>31-36,40-48,52-54,59,73-78 and 82-84.</u> Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	_		
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good answas not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	overcome <u>all</u> reject <mark>ions under apper</mark> y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fa ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ils to provide a 1).
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attac	hed.
11. The request for rèconsideration has been considered bu 	t does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowa	nce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 13. Other:	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N	lo(s)	

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The added limitations in claims 31, 43, and 73 require further consideration and/or search because the added BRIAN NGUYEN 8/16/05
PRIMARY EXAMINER limitations do not come from their dependent claims.